Miqdash Bethel Covenant Assembly

A Covenant Ministry Grounded in the Tanakh Alone

PASSING CHILDREN THROUGH FIRE

Molek Worship, Modern Occultism, and the Covenant Truth

Separating Documented Reality from Conspiracy — Through the Standard of Devarim 19:15

Reaching Judaism, Christianity, and Islam Through the Covenant Alone

> *METHODOLOGICAL STANDARD — Devarim (Deuteronomy) 19:15: 'A single witness shall not suffice against a person for any crime or for any wrong in connection with any offense that he has committed. Only on the evidence of two witnesses or of three witnesses shall a charge be established.' This study applies the two-or-three-witnesses standard of the covenant to every claim examined. What is established by multiple independent credible sources is stated as established. What cannot be corroborated is stated as unverified. The covenant standard demands truth — not comfort, not alarm, not sensationalism. Truth.*

The worship of Molek — child sacrifice as religious act — is the Tanakh's benchmark for the depths of human covenant rebellion. It appears not as a distant foreign horror but as something Israel's own kings practiced in a valley outside Jerusalem. **Yahweh**'s response is the most absolute condemnation language in the entire covenant. And the prophets are explicit: this is where the rejection of the covenant eventually leads — when a civilization has traveled far enough from **Yahweh**'s law, it arrives at the point of sacrificing its own children.

This study examines four distinct subjects, each requiring a different evidentiary standard:

First: What the Tanakh actually says about Molek worship — the texts, the lexicon, and the archaeology.

Second: What modern occultism — LaVey's Church of Satan and Crowley's Thelema — actually teaches, measured honestly against the covenant.

Third: What is documented about elite occult practices (Bohemian Grove) versus what conspiracy culture claims.

Fourth: The adrenochrome narrative, child trafficking, and the critical distinction between real, documented child exploitation and unverifiable conspiracy claims.

The final question — whether these phenomena are a conspiracy to keep people from Yahweh's covenant — receives its answer from the Tanakh itself, which provides a more devastating and more honest analysis than any conspiracy theory.

PART ONE: MOLEK — THE COVENANT TEXT AND THE EVIDENCE

What Yahweh Actually Said — And What Archaeology Confirms

The Hebrew Word — Molek (מֹלֶךְ)

The word *molek* (מֹלֶךְ — H4432) appears eight times in the Masoretic Text. BDB (Brown-Driver-Briggs) identifies it as a divine name, derived from the Semitic root ***mlk*** meaning 'king' — with the vowels of ***bosheth*** (**בֹּשֶׁת — H1322**) meaning 'shame' substituted deliberately by the Masoretic scribes. This is one of the Tanakh's most precise acts of contempt: preserving the name while marking it with the vowels of disgrace. The Jewish Encyclopedia (1906) confirms this vowel substitution as an intentional scribal editorial — calling what the nations worshiped as their king by the sounds of shame.

The associated practice is ***ha'avir ba'esh* — הַעֲבִיר בָּאֵשׁ** — to cause to pass through the fire.' Whether this meant actual burning or a fire-purification ritual near fire remains debated among scholars. The Topheth site in the Valley of Hinnom (Gei Ben-Hinnom — the later source of the word Gehenna) is consistently associated with this practice across Melachim Bet, Yirmeyahu, and Divrei HaYamim.

The Covenant's Direct Prohibition

Vayikra (Leviticus) 18:21:

> ***"And you shall not give any of your children to offer them to Molek, and so profane the name of your Elohim: I am Yahweh."***

Vayikra (Leviticus) 20:2:

> ***"Any one of the people of Israel or of the strangers who sojourn in Israel who gives any of his children to Molek shall surely be put to death. The people of the land shall stone him with stones."***

Devarim (Deuteronomy) 18:10:

> ***"There shall not be found among you anyone who burns his son or his daughter as an offering, anyone who practices divination or tells fortunes or interprets omens, or a sorcerer..."***

The death penalty — stoning — was the covenant's response to Molek worship. This is the same category as murder and kidnapping for slavery. The covenant's framework is unambiguous: offering a child to any deity is a capital offense, placing it among the most severe violations of **Yahweh**'s law. The prohibition appears in Vayikra twice and is corroborated in Devarim — meeting the two-or-three-witnesses standard of covenant evidentiary law.

Who Practiced It — The Historical Record

The Tanakh documents which kings of Israel and Judah engaged in this practice, and Britannica and the Jewish Encyclopedia corroborate the record:

Shlomo (Solomon) built a high place for Molek on the mountain before Jerusalem (Melachim Alef/1 Kings 11:7). This is the entry point — the covenant's greatest king, in his old age, built the altar.

Ahaz king of Judah made his son pass through the fire (Melachim Bet/2 Kings 16:3). Under Assyrian political pressure, the covenant king of Judah adopted Canaanite child sacrifice.

Manasseh — described in Melachim Bet/2 Kings 21:6 as having made his son pass through the fire and practiced sorcery and divination. The text calls him the worst king in Judah's history. He also placed foreign altars inside the Temple itself.

The Northern Kingdom was destroyed by Assyria in 722 BCE partly because, as Melachim Bet (2 Kings) 17:17 records, 'they burned their sons and their daughters as offerings.' The Topheth in the Valley of Hinnom was the primary site — described by **Yirmeyahu (Jeremiah)** in 7:31 as the place **Yahweh** never commanded and which never entered His mind.

The Archaeology — Two or Three Witnesses

The archaeologically established fact of Phoenician and Carthaginian child sacrifice at Tophet sites provides corroborating evidence for the biblical account.

The Biblical Archaeology Review reports that excavations at Carthage — the major Phoenician colony in North Africa — uncovered thousands of infant remains in urns at a site called a Tophet, matching the exact Hebrew word used in the Tanakh. The Archaeological Museum of Sant'Antioco in Sardinia documents a similar Tophet at the Phoenician settlement of Sulci. Teeth and skeletal analysis confirmed most remains

were infants one to two months old — an age distribution inconsistent with natural infant mortality rates, supporting the sacrificial interpretation.

One excavated Tophet cemetery near Tripoli yielded charred bones of approximately 20,000 infants, verified by Oxford University archaeologists according to Chabad.org's documented sources. The dedicatory inscriptions found at Carthage used the term ***mlk*** — the same Semitic root as the biblical Molek — to describe these offerings.

The Tanakh's account of Molek worship is corroborated by archaeology at multiple independent sites. This meets the covenant's evidentiary standard. The practice was real, documented, and widespread across the Phoenician world.

Is Molek Worship Practiced Today?

The honest answer, based on documented evidence: There is no verified, legally prosecuted, forensically established case of literal Molek-style child sacrifice as a religious ritual in the contemporary Western world.

What does exist, and is documented:

Abortion: The Tanakh does not specifically address abortion in the modern medical sense, but the prophetic tradition's condemnation of child sacrifice raises legitimate covenant questions about a culture that legally terminates millions of pregnancies annually as a matter of personal choice. This parallel is drawn by covenant scholars and is worth honest examination — but it is distinct from ritual sacrifice.

Human trafficking: UNICEF documents that children account for approximately 28 percent of all identified trafficking victims globally, with rates in Sub-Saharan Africa reaching 64 percent. The IOM's 20-year database confirms that child trafficking is a truly global phenomenon, with close to 50 percent of cases occurring within victims' own countries. This is a documented, forensically established crisis — children exploited for labor, sexual abuse, and commercial gain. This is modern ***parekh*** — crushing exploitation — of the most vulnerable, and the covenant condemns it absolutely.

The Tanakh's covenant analysis of both phenomena is more than sufficient without requiring unverifiable conspiracy claims.

PART TWO: LAVEY AND CROWLEY

What Modern Occultism Actually Teaches — Measured Against the Covenant

The covenant standard is clear in Devarim (Deuteronomy) 18:9–14 — the list of abominations the nations practiced, for which Yahweh drove them out of the land. These include divination, sorcery, interpreting omens, consulting the dead, and child sacrifice. Any system that practices these things stands condemned by the covenant, regardless of whether it uses the name of Satan, God, or any other. The covenant analysis is made from the text — and from what these movements actually teach, in their own documented writings.

Anton LaVey — The Church of Satan (Founded 1966)

What LaVey actually taught, documented in *The Satanic Bible* **(1969) — Britannica, Wikipedia, and EBSCO Research confirm:**

LaVey's Satanism was atheistic. He did not believe in a literal Satan, a literal God, heaven, or hell. Satan was for him a symbol of self-interest, individualism, and rebellion against religious hypocrisy. Britannica states: 'LaVey's Satanism was in fact atheistic — the opposition between God and Satan represented for him the struggle between hypocrisy and repression on the one hand and indulgence and liberation on the other.'

His core philosophy was Social Darwinism dressed in occult aesthetics. He drew heavily from Ayn Rand's objectivism, Friedrich Nietzsche's will to power, and Ragnar Redbeard's *Might is Right* — a Social Darwinist text with racist overtones. He explicitly acknowledged that LaVeyan Satanism was 'just Ayn Rand's philosophy, with ceremony and ritual added.'

His Satanic Bible explicitly prohibited harm to children. Wikipedia and Britannica both document that LaVey's 'Rules of the Earth' specifically prohibited 'unwanted sexual advances and harming animals or children.' His rituals were psychodrama — theatrical performances designed to liberate participants from what he called religious repression, not actual supernatural rites.

His movement never exceeded a few thousand members. Despite enormous media attention in the 1960s and 1970s, membership in the Church of Satan was never large, and LaVey himself died in poverty in 1997.

The Covenant's Verdict on LaVeyan Satanism

LaVey's system, measured against the covenant standard of Devarim 18, is condemned — not because it practices literal child sacrifice or worships a

supernatural Satan, but because:

It places the self — the individual will — as the supreme authority, directly inverting the covenant's foundational principle: **Yahweh** is sovereign. The self is not.

It uses ritual, ceremony, and occult symbolism — condemned by Devarim 18:10–11 as ***to'evah*** — abomination — regardless of whether the practitioner believes they work.

It teaches Social Darwinist ethics: the strong deserve to prevail over the weak. This directly contradicts the covenant's repeated command to protect the widow, orphan, stranger, and poor — the covenant is relentlessly anti-Social-Darwinist.

What LaVeyan Satanism is not: an organized system of child sacrifice, blood ritual, or literal Satan worship. Claiming it is, without evidence, violates the covenant's own evidentiary standard of Devarim 19:15.

Aleister Crowley — Thelema (Founded 1904)

What Crowley actually taught, documented by Britannica, Wikipedia, and academic peer-reviewed sources:

Crowley's central doctrine was 'Do what thou wilt shall be the whole of the Law.' He explicitly stated this was not simple hedonism but the pursuit of one's 'True Will' — a quasi-mystical concept of individual destiny aligned with cosmic order. Britannica confirms: this is not an amoral injunction but rather a highly individualistic spiritual doctrine.

Thelema incorporated sex magic as a core practice, drawing from Egyptian deity worship, Hindu tantric traditions, and Enochian ceremonial magic from John Dee. He worshiped an Egyptian pantheon — Nuit, Hadit, Ra-Hoor-Khuit — and identified himself as the prophet of a new aeon.

Crowley called himself 'The Great Beast 666.' He reveled in shock and transgression, deliberately positioning himself as the most outrageous figure possible. The British press labeled him 'the wickedest man in the world.' <u>He was, in documented historical fact, a profligate drug user who exhausted his inheritance and died in poverty</u>. His Abbey of Thelema in Sicily was closed by Mussolini after a follower allegedly died there.

His influence has been enormous and measurable. The Beatles put his face on *Sgt. Pepper's Lonely Hearts Club Band*. Led Zeppelin guitarist Jimmy Page purchased Crowley's Scottish estate. LaVey incorporated Crowley's Enochian Keys into *The*

Satanic Bible. Crowley's influence flows directly into the counterculture movements of the 1960s and remains present in Western occult practice today.

The Covenant's Verdict on Thelema

Thelema is more spiritually serious than LaVeyan Satanism — and more dangerous, because it is not atheistic. Crowley actually believed in supernatural entities and practiced what he understood to be genuine spiritual invocation.

Devarim 18:10–11 condemns by name: divination, sorcery, interpreting omens, consulting the dead, and practicing ***ob*** (a medium) and ***yidoni*** (a wizard). Thelema practices all of these, explicitly and enthusiastically. The covenant's verdict requires no elaboration beyond the text itself.

The core principle — 'Do what thou wilt shall be the whole of the Law' — is the most direct possible inversion of the Shema. The Shema declares **Yahweh** alone is sovereign. Thelema declares the individual True Will is sovereign. These two claims cannot coexist.

Both LaVey and Crowley represent the same fundamental covenant rebellion documented throughout the Tanakh: the enthronement of the self in place of Yahweh. The specific rituals vary. The diagnosis is identical. This is the yetzer hara — the evil inclination — dressed in ceremonial robes and given a theology.

PART THREE: WHAT IS DOCUMENTED, WHAT IS NOT

Elite Ritual — Fact, Inference, and Conspiracy

The covenant standard requires two or three witnesses for any claim. What follows applies that standard rigorously to the most prominent claims about elite occult practice.

Bohemian Grove — What Is Documented

The following facts about Bohemian Grove are established by multiple independent journalistic sources, including the Washington Post, Mother Jones,

Spy magazine, and Britannica:

ESTABLISHED FACT: The Bohemian Club is a real, private organization of approximately 2,600 of the most powerful men in the United States, including former presidents (Nixon, Reagan, both Bushes, Eisenhower), Supreme Court justices,

business executives, and media figures. Britannica confirms it is an invitation-only club with approximately a two-year waiting list.

ESTABLISHED FACT: The club conducts an annual summer encampment at a private redwood grove in Northern California, which opens with a ceremony called 'The Cremation of Care' — a theatrical production performed in front of a 40-foot concrete owl since 1929. Alex Jones filmed this ceremony with a hidden camera in 2000. Wikipedia, Britannica, and the Club's own documents describe it as an allegorical drama in which a figure representing 'worldly care' is symbolically burned in effigy.

ESTABLISHED FACT: The Manhattan Project was first planned at Bohemian Grove in 1942. Nixon gave a foreign policy presentation there in 1967 despite the Club's rule against discussing public policy. These facts are documented and create legitimate concerns about unaccountable elite decision-making outside public scrutiny.

ESTABLISHED FACT: The ceremony uses occult-adjacent imagery — robed priests, torchbearers, a giant idol, fire — that has no place in the lives of those who claim the name of **Yahweh**. Whether or not the participants believe the ritual has spiritual effect, they are performing ceremonies of precisely the kind condemned by Devarim 18.

Bohemian Grove — What Is NOT Established

> **[NOT ESTABLISHED]** Actual child sacrifice or literal human sacrifice at Bohemian Grove. Alex Jones himself described the Cremation of Care as a 'mock human sacrifice,' not actual sacrifice. Multiple journalists who infiltrated the Grove over several decades — including Spy magazine's Philip Weiss (1989) and Rick Clogher (1980) — reported it as a theatrical ceremony, not a ritual murder.

> **[NOT ESTABLISHED]** That the owl statue is definitively a representation of Molek. The Bohemian Club's own documentation states the owl symbolizes wisdom, and the Club's patron saint is the Christian St. John of Nepomuk. Calling it Molek is an inference, not an established fact.

The covenant analysis of Bohemian Grove does not require the Molek identification to be devastating. The documented facts — that the most powerful

men in the world conduct secret theatrical rituals using occult imagery, outside public accountability, where they make policy decisions that affect millions — is itself a covenant-level indictment. The concern does not require conspiracy to be legitimate. It requires only the documented evidence.

Adrenochrome — The Full Honest Analysis

The adrenochrome trafficking narrative — that a global elite tortures children to harvest a compound from their blood that grants youth or psychedelic

experiences — does not meet the covenant evidentiary standard of Devarim 19:15. Here is what is actually true and what is not:

[ESTABLISHED FACT] Adrenochrome is a real chemical compound — the oxidation product of adrenaline (epinephrine). It has been known since 1856. The American Chemical Society, Britannica, and the American Chemical Society all confirm its existence.

[ESTABLISHED FACT] Adrenochrome can be chemically synthesized in a laboratory from commercially available reagents and has been mass-produced since at least 1952. It is not a controlled substance. Any researcher or company can purchase it legally.

[ESTABLISHED FACT] The fictional origin of the child-harvesting narrative is documented. Hunter S. Thompson's 1971 novel ***Fear and Loathing in Las Vegas*** contains a fictional scene about adrenochrome harvested from living humans. Director Terry Gilliam confirmed in DVD commentary that this was entirely fictional. Thompson admitted he invented it.

[NOT ESTABLISHED] That adrenochrome has extraordinary rejuvenating or psychedelic properties requiring child victims. Britannica, the American Chemical Society, How Stuff Works, and multiple toxicologists confirm: adrenochrome's alleged psychedelic effects from 1950s research were never replicated and have been debunked. It is chemically unstable. It has no approved medical uses in the United States. Its properties as an 'elixir' are fictional.

[NOT ESTABLISHED] Any organized, documented network of elites harvesting adrenochrome from children. No forensic evidence, no criminal convictions, no independent investigative journalism, no law enforcement documentation of any such network exists. Britannica, Wikipedia, and multiple fact-checking organizations confirm the claim is false.

The covenant word on adrenochrome: this narrative is a modern form of what scholars call 'blood libel' — false accusations of child blood-harvesting used historically to persecute Jewish communities in medieval Europe. That pattern does not serve the covenant. It serves the *yetzer hara* dressed as righteousness. The Devarim 19:15 standard exists precisely to prevent this: no one is condemned on the basis of rumor, passion, or cultural fear. Two or three witnesses. Evidence. Truth.

Child Trafficking — The Real and Documented Crisis

What is established by UNICEF, the IOM, the UN Office on Drugs and Crime, and the U.S. Bureau of Justice Statistics is far more disturbing than conspiracy — because it is real:

Children constitute nearly one-third of all identified human trafficking victims worldwide. The vast majority are girls. In Sub-Saharan Africa, children make up 64 percent of identified trafficking victims. In Central America and the Caribbean, 62 percent.

Every country in the world is affected. The United States is both a source and destination country for child trafficking. The IOM's 20-year database confirms no age, gender, or nationality is immune.

The primary drivers are documented and covenant-recognizable: poverty, broken family structures, armed conflict, institutional care without adequate safeguarding, and the exploitation of the vulnerable by those with power. These are exactly the conditions the covenant prophets condemned generation after generation.

This is the real Molek. Not a conspiracy that requires secret knowledge to uncover — but a global system of child exploitation documented by Harvard University, Oxford University, the United Nations, and every credible human rights organization on earth. **Yahweh** hears the cry of the oppressed (Shemot/Exodus 22:23). The cry is real. The evidence is available. **The covenant response is clear: *mishpat* — justice — for the most vulnerable.**

PART FOUR: THE COVENANT'S DIAGNOSIS

Is This a System of Deception? — What the Tanakh Actually Says

This is the most important question in the study, and the Tanakh answers it without any conspiracy theory required.

The Tanakh's Own Mechanism — How Rebellion Deepens

The Tanakh does not describe a single conspiracy keeping people from Yahweh. It describes something more systemic and more honest: a self-reinforcing cycle

of covenant abandonment that, at its furthest point, arrives at the sacrifice of children.

Tehillim (Psalm) 106:34–38 describes the sequence with precision:

> ***"They did not destroy the peoples, as Yahweh commanded them, but they mixed with the nations and learned to do as they did. They served their idols, which became a snare to them. They sacrificed their sons and their daughters to the shedim; they poured out innocent blood, the blood of their sons and daughters, whom they sacrificed to the idols of Canaan, and the land was polluted with blood."***

The sequence is: covenant abandonment → surrounding culture adoption → idol service → ultimately, child sacrifice. There is no conspiracy in this sequence. There is the covenant's own psychology: when a people leave **Yahweh**, they do not remain in a neutral space. They are shaped by whatever they worship next. And the logic of false worship, pursued to its conclusion, always arrives at consuming the most vulnerable — because the ***yetzer hara*** unconstrained by the covenant has no floor.

Is There a System That Benefits From Keeping People Away From the Covenant?

The covenant answers this question through the prophets — and the answer is yes, but the primary operators of that system are not secret. They are named explicitly in the Tanakh:

False shepherds (Yechezkel/Ezekiel 34) — religious leaders who feed themselves while the sheep are scattered. Named, indicted, condemned.

Corrupt kings (Melachim/Kings throughout) — political leaders who use religious systems for personal power. Named, indicted, condemned.

The commercial system (Amos 2:6–8) — wealthy merchants who exploit the poor in the very spaces where worship occurs. Named, indicted, condemned.

The surrounding culture (Shoftim/Judges 2:11–13) — Baal, Asherah, and the worship systems of neighboring societies, which Israel repeatedly adopted. Not secret — visible, marketed, and culturally prestigious.

The covenant's great insight is that the system keeping people from Yahweh does not require a secret cabal. It requires only the normal operation of the yetzer hara, the prosperity amnesia of Devarim 8, the false shepherds of Yechezkel 34, and the entertainment culture of the surrounding nations. These are sufficient. They have

been sufficient in every generation. And they are fully operational in the modern world without any hidden hand pulling the strings.

The Danger of Conspiracy Culture — A Covenant Analysis

Conspiracy culture — the belief that a secret elite is the primary obstacle between the individual and truth — creates its own form of covenant rebellion. The covenant identifies this precisely:

It externalizes the adversary. The covenant locates the primary problem in the human heart — the ***yetzer hara***. Conspiracy culture locates the primary problem in a hidden external enemy. This move produces exactly what the covenant warns against: people who are occupied with exposing others while their own hearts remain unreformed.

It replaces covenant action with investigation. Devarim 30:14 says the covenant word is in the mouth and heart — near, actionable now. Conspiracy culture says the real enemy is hidden and must be uncovered before action is possible. This is a paralyzing framework. **Amos** did not need to know who was secretly controlling the markets before he condemned the exploitation of the poor. The evidence was in front of him. The covenant response was immediate.

It can become its own form of avodah zarah. When a person's primary loyalty, attention, emotional energy, and communal identity are organized around uncovering a conspiracy, that conspiracy has functionally become what they worship. **The covenant calls people to direct their energy toward Yahweh — not toward an adversary, real or imagined.**

It trades verified covenant truth for unverifiable rumor. The covenant's standard is Devarim 19:15 — two or three witnesses. Conspiracy culture routinely operates on single-witness, anonymous-source, digitally-circulated claims that cannot be independently verified. This is not the covenant's way. It is not the way of **Yahweh**, who is ***Elohei emet*** — the **Elohim** of truth.

The covenant analysis is not that conspiracies never exist — they do, and the Tanakh documents them (the princes of Yirmeyahu/Jeremiah 38 conspiring to kill the prophet; Haman's conspiracy against the Jewish people in Ester/Esther). The covenant analysis is that the primary obstacle between any human being and covenant faithfulness is not a conspiracy. It is the yetzer hara — the evil inclination — operating in the human heart, unchecked by the covenant word.

CONCLUSION: THE COVENANT IS SUFFICIENT

The Tanakh's account of child sacrifice under Molek is historically verified and archaeologically corroborated. The covenant's condemnation requires no embellishment — it is absolute, capital, and repeated across multiple books.

Modern occultism — LaVey's Church of Satan and Crowley's Thelema — is documented and condemned by the covenant on its own merits, without requiring any unverified conspiracy. Both systems enthrone the human will above Yahweh. Both practice what Devarim 18 explicitly calls abomination. No further charge is needed.

Bohemian Grove's documented practices — secret ceremonies using occult imagery, performed by the most powerful men in the world, outside public accountability — are a legitimate covenant concern that requires no unverifiable conspiracy claim to be serious.

The adrenochrome narrative is not established by two or three witnesses and does not meet the covenant's evidentiary standard. Treating it as fact violates Devarim 19:15 and dishonors the covenant. The real crisis of child exploitation is documented, massive, and requires covenant action — not conspiracy theorizing.

The question of whether these things constitute a deception to keep people from Yahweh is answered by the Tanakh itself: the primary mechanism of covenant suppression was never secret. It was always the yetzer hara, the false shepherd, the seduction of surrounding culture, and the amnesia of prosperity — operating in full daylight, in every generation, sufficient in themselves to produce a people who pass their children through fire.

Yirmeyahu (Jeremiah) 7:31 — Yahweh's own word on Molek worship:

> ***"And they have built the high places of Topheth, which is in the valley of the son of Hinnom, to burn their sons and their daughters in the fire — which I did not command, nor did it come into My mind."***

Yahweh never commanded it. It never entered His mind. The way back from every form of child-consuming worship — ancient and modern — is the same way back from every other form of covenant rebellion: return to the word that is already in the mouth and heart. Do justice. Love covenant faithfulness. Walk humbly with Yahweh your Elohim.

Primary Sources and Research Witnesses

Tanakh: Vayikra 18:21; 20:2 · Devarim 18:9–14; 19:15 · Melachim Alef 11:7 · Melachim Bet 16:3; 17:17; 21:6; 23:10

Yirmeyahu 7:31; 32:35 · Yechezkel 34 · Tehillim 106:34–38 · Shemot 22:23 · Devarim 30:14

Lexicons: BDB H4432 (molek) · H1322 (bosheth) · H8441 (toevah) Jewish Encyclopedia (1906): Moloch · Britannica: Moloch, LaVey, Crowley, Bohemian Club, Adrenochrome

Biblical Archaeology Review: Carthage Tophet · TheTorah.com: Molekh · Armstrong Institute: Tophet

American Chemical Society: Adrenochrome · HowStuffWorks · UNICEF Child Trafficking Reports

Wikipedia: Church of Satan, Thelema, Bohemian Grove, Cremation of Care · EBSCO: LaVey, Moloch